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1 SCOPE OF THE TECHNICAL REPORT

1.1 Abstract

This Technical Report (TR) contains a method for the design of post-installed reinforcing bars (rebars) in moment resisting connections.

This Technical Report is only applicable for post-installed rebar systems that hold European Technical Assessments (ETAs) based on EAD 332402-00-0601 [5]. Post-installed rebar systems that are assessed in accordance with EAD 330499-01-0601 [7] only, or EAD 330087-00-0601 [8] only, or [7] and [8] only, cannot be designed in accordance with this Technical Report.

Post-installed rebars are used for the connection of structural elements cast at different times.

This document relies on characteristic resistances and parameters which are stated in the ETA based on EAD 332402-00-0601 [5] and referred to herein.

The design provisions included in this Technical Report apply to structural connections with post-installed rebars with the intended working life stated in the ETA according to EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].

This Technical Report is intended for safety related applications in which the failure of post-installed rebars may result in collapse or partial collapse of the structure, cause risk to human life or lead to significant economic loss. The proof of local transmission of the loads into concrete members is delivered by using the design methods described in this document. Proof of transmission of the loads shall be determined at the ultimate and serviceability limit states in accordance with EN1992-1-1:2004 [4].

This Technical Report does not cover the design of reinforced or unreinforced concrete members part of the moment resisting connection. The design of these members shall be carried out in compliance with the requirements of appropriate and applicable Standards.

1.2 Relevance

This TR does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this technical report to establish appropriate safety practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

1.1.1 Covered applications

The design procedure described in this Technical Report is applicable for moment-resisting connections subjected to static and quasi-static loading, as shown in Figure 1.1. Seismic, fatigue action, and fire exposure are not covered by this Technical Report.

The design requirements of this Technical Report cover both ultimate and serviceability limit states.

Figure 1.1: Structural moment resisting connections covered by this Technical Report

(clear grey: existing element; dark grey: new element)
Note: The definition of the structural elements addressed in Figure 1.1 (column, wall, slab and beam) is given in EN 1992-1-1, Section 5.3.1.

Note: The shear transfer through shear friction between the existing and the new reinforced concrete elements should be ensured by surface roughening prior to casting new against existing concrete. In cases where the surface layer of existing concrete is carbonated, the carbonated layer should be removed around the areas that are to receive post-installed rebars. A rule of thumb is to remove the carbonated concrete over a circular area given by the diameter of the bar plus 60 mm.

This TR is applicable to post-installed rebar connections with the following dimensions:

- Minimum and maximum rebar size, $\phi$ and maximum embedment depth, $l_b$ as reported in the relevant ETA in accordance with EAD 332402-00-0601 [5];

And where the following conditions are fulfilled:

- Minimum concrete cover, $c_{\text{min}}$, as given in Table 1.1 and Table 1.2.
- Minimum clear spacing between two post-installed bars is $a = 40 \text{ mm} \geq 4 \cdot \phi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drilling method</th>
<th>Bar diameter $\phi$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{min}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hammer drilling or diamond drilling</td>
<td>$&lt; 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(30 \text{ mm} + 0.06 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\geq 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(40 \text{ mm} + 0.06 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed air drilling</td>
<td>$&lt; 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(50 \text{ mm} + 0.08 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\geq 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(60 \text{ mm} + 0.08 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The factors 0.06 and 0.08 in Table 1.1 take into account the possible inherent tolerances of the drilling process. These factors might be smaller if drilling aid devices (see Figure 1.2) are used. When using such a drilling aid device the minimum concrete cover may be reduced as given in Table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drilling method</th>
<th>Bar diameter $\phi$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{min}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hammer drilling or diamond drilling</td>
<td>$&lt; 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(30 \text{ mm} + 0.02 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\geq 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(40 \text{ mm} + 0.02 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed air drilling</td>
<td>$&lt; 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(50 \text{ mm} + 0.02 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\geq 25 \text{ mm}$</td>
<td>$\max(60 \text{ mm} + 0.02 l_b; 2 \cdot \phi)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.2 Example of drilling aid

All reinforcement requirements (e.g. diameters, reinforcement ratio and spacing) as per EN 1992-1-1 [4] shall be taken into account.
1.1.2 Materials

This Technical Report covers post-installed rebar connections in reinforced or unreinforced normal weight, non-carbonated concrete without fibres C20/25 to C50/60 according to EN 206:2013 [1] with a system assessed according to EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].

The system for post-installed rebar connections is composed of a mortar and an embedded straight deformed reinforcing bar complying with EN 1992-1-1:2004 Annex C [4].

Characteristic values needed for the design of the post-installed rebars are given in the relevant ETA.

The design method is valid for single rebars and group of rebars. If rebars are installed in a group, only rebars with the same type, size, and length shall be used.
2 SPECIFIC TERMS/SYMBOLS USED IN THIS TECHNICAL REPORT

2.1 Abbreviations
BCJ = Beam-Column-Joint
BWJ = Beam-Wall-Joint
CFJ = Column-Foundation-Joint
EAD = European Assessment Document
ETA = European Technical Assessment
SWJ = Slab-Wall-Joint
TR = Technical Report
WFJ = Wall-Foundation-Joint

2.2 Notation

\( A_{c,N} \) = Actual projected area of the group of tensioned rebars
\( A^{0c,N} \) = Reference projected area
\( A_k \) = Characteristic fitting factor for equation (4.11a) taken from the relevant ETA
\( A_s \) = Cross sectional area of reinforcement
\( A_{st} \) = Cross-sectional area of one stirrup leg
\( c \) = Clear concrete cover / edge distance measured from the centre of the rebar
\( c_{cr,N} \) = Critical edge distance to ensure the characteristic resistance of a single rebar in case of concrete break-out under tension loading (measured from the centre of the rebar)
\( c_d \) = Minimum between clear concrete cover and half of the clear spacing from the closest neighbouring reinforcing bar
\( c_{min} \) = Minimum concrete cover
\( c_{max} \) = Maximum between clear concrete cover and half of the clear spacing from the closest neighbouring reinforcing bar
\( C_d \) = Design limit displacement of resistance corresponding to it
\( e_N \) = Eccentricity of the resulting tension load w.r.t. the centre of gravity of the tension reinforcement
\( E \) = Action
\( E_d \) = Design action
\( f_{ck} \) = Nominal cylinder compressive strength of concrete according to EN 206:2013 [1]
\( f_{cm} \) = Mean cylinder compressive strength of concrete according to EN 1992-1-1 [4]
\( f_{csm} \) = Mean tensile strength of concrete according to EN 1992-1-1 [4]
\( f_{yk} \) = Characteristic tensile (yield) strength of reinforcement
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\( k_1 = \) Factor for resistance to concrete failure
\( k_{cr,N} = \) Factor for resistance to concrete failure in cracked concrete
\( k_m = \) Factor for the effectiveness of transverse reinforcement
\( K_{tr} = \) Normalized ratio to consider the amount of transverse reinforcement crossing a potential splitting surface, equation (4.11a) in accordance with fib Model Code 2010 [6]
\( k_{ucr,N} = \) Factor for resistance to concrete failure in uncracked concrete
\( l_b = \) Embedment length of the post-installed rebar
\( M = \) Bending moment
\( n_b = \) Number of anchored or lapped rebars in the potential splitting surface
\( n_t = \) Number of legs of confining reinforcement crossing a potential splitting surface
\( N = \) Axial force
\( N_{Rd,c} = \) Design concrete cone break-out resistance of the post-installed rebars
\( N_{Rd,sp} = \) Design bond-splitting resistance of the post-installed rebars
\( N_{Rd,y} = \) Design resistance to yielding of the post-installed rebars
\( N_{Rk,c} = \) Characteristic concrete cone break-out resistance of the post-installed rebars
\( N_{Rk,c}^o = \) Characteristic concrete cone break-out resistance for a single post-installed rebar not influenced by any adjacent post-installed rebar or edge
\( N_{Rk,y} = \) Characteristic resistance corresponding to yielding of the post-installed reinforcement
\( p_{tr} = \) Transverse pressure in the concrete
\( R = \) Resistance
\( R_k = \) Characteristic value of resistance
\( R_d = \) Design value of resistance
\( s_b = \) Clear spacing between the confining reinforcement
\( s_{cr,N} = \) Centre to centre spacing to ensure the characteristic resistance of a single rebar
\( sp1, sp2, sp3, sp4 \) and \( lb1 = \) Curve fitting exponents of equation (4.11a) taken from the relevant ETA
\( z = \) Lever arm
\( \alpha_{sus} = \) ratio between the value of sustained actions (comprising permanent actions and permanent component of variable actions) and the value of total actions all considered at ultimate limit state
\( \phi = \) Nominal diameter of the reinforcing bar
\( \gamma = \) Partial factor
\( \gamma_c = \) Partial factor for concrete
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\[ \gamma_{\text{inst}} = \text{Factor accounting for the sensitivity to installation of post-installed rebars} \]

\[ \gamma_M = \text{Partial factor for material} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{Mc}} = \text{Partial factor for concrete related failure modes} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{Mp}} = \text{Partial factor for pull-out} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{Ms}} = \text{Partial factor for steel failure} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{Ms}p} = \text{Partial factor for splitting failure} \]

\[ \eta_1 = \text{Coefficient related to the quality of the bond condition and the position of the bar during concreting according to EN 1992-1-1 [4]} \]

\[ \tau_{Rk,sp} = \text{Characteristic bond-splitting resistance} \]

\[ \tau_{Rk,ucr} = \text{Characteristic bond resistance in uncracked concrete} \]

\[ \Omega_{\text{cr}} = \text{Factor to account for the influence of cracked concrete on resistance to combined pull-out and concrete failure taken from the relevant ETA} \]

\[ \Omega_{p,\text{tr}} = \text{Factor to account for transverse pressure in concrete} \]

\[ \psi_{\text{ec},N} = \text{Factor considering the effect of eccentricity between the point of application of the axial force and the centre of gravity of the tensioned rebars (e.g. in the case or more layers of tensioned reinforcement).} \]

\[ \psi_{M,N} = \text{Factor considering the effect of the compression zone of the cross section of the attached reinforced concrete element in case of bending moments} \]

\[ \psi_{\text{re},N} = \text{Factor considering the effect of dense reinforcement between which the rebar is installed} \]

\[ \psi_{s,N} = \text{Factor considering the disturbance of the distribution of stresses in the concrete due to the proximity of an edge in the concrete member in case of concrete cone failure} \]

\[ \psi_{\text{sus}} = \text{Factor to account for the effect of sustained loads on the bond strength} \]

### 2.3 Indices

\[ b = \text{Bond} \]

\[ c = \text{Concrete} \]

\[ cr = \text{Cracked concrete} \]

\[ d = \text{Design value} \]

\[ dur = \text{Durability} \]

\[ k = \text{Characteristic} \]

\[ M = \text{Material} \]

\[ max = \text{Maximum} \]

\[ min = \text{Minimum} \]

\[ p = \text{Pull-out} \]

\[ R = \text{Resistance} \]
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\[ s = \text{Steel} \]
\[ sp = \text{Splitting} \]
\[ ucr = \text{Uncracked concrete} \]
\[ y = \text{Yield} \]

2.4 Definitions

- Mortar = Bonding material that is part of the post-installed rebar system
- Rebar = Deformed reinforcing bar
3 DESIGN AND SAFETY CONCEPT

3.1 General

(1) The design procedure is applicable to post-installed rebar systems assessed as per EAD 332402-00-0601 [5], and having a valid ETA.

(2) The post installed rebar connections shall sustain all actions and influences likely to occur during execution and use within the scope of this TR.

(3) Values for actions shall be obtained from the relevant parts of EN 1991 [3].

(4) The concrete members connected using the post installed rebar anchorages shall comply to the provisions of EN 1992-1-1 [4] and its National Annexes as applicable.

3.2 Design format

(1) At ultimate limit state it shall be shown that

$$ E_d \leq R_d $$

where

$$ R_d = R_k / \gamma_M $$

(2) At serviceability limit state it shall be shown that

$$ E_d \leq C_d $$

3.3 Verification by partial factor method

3.3.1 Partial factors for actions

(1) Partial factors for actions shall be according to EN 1990 [2] and national regulations (e.g., National Annexes of EN 1990 [2]) as applicable.

3.3.2 Partial factors for resistances

(1) Partial factors shall be in accordance with EN 1992-4, EN 1992-1-1 [4], or national regulations (e.g., National Annexes) for the resistances under different failure modes. The values provided in Table 3.1 are recommended.

(2) The factor $\gamma_{\text{inst}}$, which is required for the determination of $\gamma_{\text{Mc}}$, is product dependant and shall be taken from the ETA obtained in accordance with EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].

Table 3.1: Partial safety factors for different failure modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Modes</th>
<th>Partial Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement Yielding</td>
<td>$\gamma_M = 1.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete cone failure</td>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{Mc}} = \gamma_{\text{inst}} \cdot \gamma_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{inst}} \geq 1.0$ see relevant ETA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\gamma_c = 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond failure and Bond-splitting failure</td>
<td>$\gamma_M = \gamma_{\text{Ms}} = \gamma_{\text{Mc}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) The recommended values of the partial factors are valid for static loads and shall be applied to the characteristic resistances under respective failure modes (Table 3.1).

3.4 Project Specification
The project specification should typically include the following:

(1) Strength class of concrete used for the existing concrete member and proposed for the new connecting concrete member.

(2) Reinforcement detailing drawings for the existing and new member.

(3) It is recommended to conduct non-destructive or destructive (if feasible) evaluation of the existing concrete strength and reinforcement details (e.g., detection).

3.5 Installation of Post-installed rebars
The resistance and reliability of the post-installed rebar system is significantly influenced by its installation procedures. The partial factors listed in Section 3.3.2 are valid only when the conditions of installation provided by the ETA in accordance with EAD 332402-00-0601 [5] are met.

3.6 Determination of concrete condition of the base member
In the region of anchorage of the new connecting member, the concrete may be cracked or non-cracked. The condition of the concrete for the service life of the structural connection shall be determined by the designer.

Cracked concrete shall always be assumed, unless uncracked concrete conditions are guaranteed.
4 VERIFICATION OF ULTIMATE LIMIT STATE

4.1 Required verifications

(1) The design resistance \( (R_d) \), expressed as the total tension force in the post installed reinforcement used for the moment resisting connection, shall be calculated for each failure mode based on characteristic resistance evaluated as per Sections 4.2 to 4.4.

(2) The decisive design resistance for the anchorage shall be calculated using equation (4.1).

\[
R_d \leq \min\left( N_{Rd,y}; N_{Rd,c}; N_{Rd,sp}\right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

Where
- \( R_d \) is equal to \( R_k / \gamma_M \)
- \( N_{Rd,y} \) is the design resistance to yielding of the post-installed rebars
- \( N_{Rd,c} \) is the design concrete cone break-out resistance of the post-installed rebars
- \( N_{Rd,sp} \) is the design bond-splitting resistance of the post-installed rebars

Table 4.1 gives an overview and brief description of the required verifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure mode</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Verification required</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel yielding resistance</td>
<td>( N_{Rd,y} = N_{Rk,y} / \gamma_M )</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>The state of stress in the tensioned bars is to be averaged based on the position of the centre of gravity of the tensioned reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete cone break-out resistance</td>
<td>( N_{Rd,c} = N_{Rk,c} / \gamma_M )</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Eccentricities are taken into account in the calculation of ( N_{Rk,c} ) as per Section 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond-splitting resistance</td>
<td>( N_{Rd,sp} = N_{Rk,sp} / \gamma_M )</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>The overall resistance of all tensioned bars must be calculated to compare it with the other relevant failure modes. However, single bars might underlie more severe loading conditions (e.g. eccentric and/or different ( c_d ) and/or ( c_{max} ) values)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the combined pull-out and concrete break-out resistance as per EN 1992-4 [9] is replaced in this TR by the calculation of bond-splitting resistance to allow geometric parameters not covered in the EN 1992-4 [9], i.e. small edge distances and/or spacing between rebars as well as anchorage length higher than 20\( \phi \).
4.2 Resistance corresponding to yielding of the reinforcement

Note: It is recommended to pursue this failure mode in the design of post-installed rebar connections.

The characteristic resistance corresponding to yielding of the post-installed reinforcement is given by equation (4.2)

\[ N_{Rk,y} = A_s \cdot f_y \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

Where

- \( A_s \) is the cross sectional area of all tensioned post-installed rebars within the connection

4.3 Resistance corresponding to concrete cone failure

The design for the concrete cone failure resistance given in this section is based on EN 1992-4 [9].

Note: The limitations of EN 1992-4 Figure 1.2 are not applicable to the design of post-installed rebars in moment resisting connections for the following reasons:

- Post-installed reinforcement is not designed for shear loading
- Unless otherwise assumed or specified, the hypothesis that plane sections remain plane (rigid base plate assumption) is automatically satisfied when designing the reinforced concrete members in accordance with EN 1992-1-1 [4]

The characteristic resistance for the group of reinforcement under tension action resulting from the moment resisting mechanism shall be obtained as given in equation (4.3).

\[ N_{Rk,c} = N_{Rk,c}^0 \cdot \frac{A_{c,N}}{A_{c,N}^0} \cdot \psi_{s,N} \cdot \psi_{a,c,N} \cdot \psi_{r,c,N} \cdot \psi_{M,N} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

The different factors in equation (4.3) shall be determined as follows:

(1) The characteristic resistance for a single reinforcement post-installed in the concrete and not influenced by any adjacent reinforcement or edge is given by equation (4.4)

\[ N_{Rk,c}^0 = k_1 \cdot \sqrt{f_{ck}} \cdot l_b^{1.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

where:

- \( k_1 = k_{cr,N} \) for cracked concrete
- \( k_{cr,N} \) for non-cracked concrete

\( k_{cr,N} \) and \( k_{sch,N} \) are given in the corresponding ETA obtained in accordance with EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].

Note 1: \( l_b = h_{ef} \), thus equation (4.3) is equivalent to the concrete cone resistance equation provided in EN 1992-4 [9].

Note 2: Suggested values of \( k_{cr,N} \) and \( k_{sch,N} \) are \( k_{cr,N} = 7.7 \) and \( k_{sch,N} = 11.0 \).
(2) The geometric effect of adjacent tension reinforcement and edge influence is taken into account using the value of $A_{c,N}/A_{c,N}^0$, where:

$$A_{c,N}^0 = s_{cr,N} \cdot s_{cr,N}$$

is the reference projected area as per [9] with $h_{ef}$ replaced by $b$

$A_{c,N}$ is the actual projected area of the group of tensioned rebars, limited by overlapping of projected areas of adjacent bars and presence of edges. Example calculation is shown in [9].

**Note:** A value of $s_{cr,N} = 2 \cdot c_{cr,N} = 3 \cdot l_b$ could be used indicatively. $c_{cr,N}$ is given in the relevant ETA.

(3) The factor $\psi_{s,N}$ accounts for the disturbance of the distribution of stresses in the concrete due to the proximity of an edge of the concrete member. This is given by equation (4.6).

$$\psi_{s,N} = 0.7 + 0.3 \cdot \frac{c}{c_{cr,N}} \leq 1.0$$

where:

$c_{cr,N}$ is given in the relevant ETA.

(4) The factor $\psi_{ec,N}$ accounts for eccentricity between the point of application of the axial force and the centre of gravity of the tensioned rebars. This is given by equation (4.7).

$$\psi_{ec,N} = \frac{1}{1 + 2 \cdot \frac{e_N}{s_{cr,N}}} \leq 1.0$$

where:

$e_N$ = The eccentricity of the resulting tension load w.r.t. the centre of gravity of the tension reinforcement

(5) The factor $\psi_{re,N}$ accounts for the reduced strength of rebars with an anchorage length $l_b < 100$ mm inserted in concrete elements with closely spaced reinforcement.

$$\psi_{re,N} = 0.5 + \frac{l_b}{200} \leq 1.0$$

The factor $\psi_{re,N}$ may be taken as 1.0 in the following cases:

a) Reinforcement (any diameter) is present at a spacing $\geq 150$ mm, or
b) Reinforcement with a diameter of $\leq 10$ mm is present at a spacing $\geq 100$ mm

The conditions a) and b) shall be fulfilled for both loading directions in case of reinforcement in two directions.

(6) The factor $\psi_{M,N}$ accounts for the effect of compression stresses resulting from the moment resisting actions on the concrete cone capacity. This is given by equation (4.9).

$$\psi_{M,N} = 2.0 - \frac{z}{1.5 \cdot l_b} \geq 1.0$$

where:

$z$ = The lever arm between the resulting tension and compression force in the cross section of the connecting member due to the applied moment at the location of face of the base member.
ψ_M,N = 1.0 for the following cases:

- Anchorages with an edge distance c < 1.5 \( l_b \)
- Anchorages with \( c \geq 1.5 \ l_b \) loaded by a bending moment and a tension force with \( C_{ED} / N_{ED} < 0.8 \) where \( C_{ED} \) is the resultant compression force at the interface section between existing and new reinforced concrete member (taken as absolute value) and \( N_{ED} \) is the resultant tension force of the tensioned post-installed rebars of the connection

Note: For the case of rebars in an application with three or more edge distances less than \( c_{cr,N} \) from the rebars, the calculation according to Equation (4.3) leads to conservative results. More precise results are obtained if, in the case of single rebars, the value \( l_b \) is substituted by \( l'_b \), where \( l'_b \) is calculated as \( h'_{el} \) in accordance with provisions of the EN 1992-4 [9].

### 4.4 Resistance corresponding to bond and splitting failure

(1) The resistance corresponding to bond-splitting failure, \( \tau_{Rk,sp} \), and its relevant parameters \( A_k, \Omega_c, sp1, sp2, sp3, sp4 \) and \( lb1 \) are provided in the ETA and obtained following the requirements of EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].

(2) The bond-splitting resistance is expressed as a function of the embedded length, \( l_b \), as per equations (4.10) and (4.11a).

Note: If the load on the tensioned bars is applied eccentrically and/or the values \( c_{min} \) and \( c_{max} \) are different for each tensioned bar, the resistance \( N_{Rk,sp} \) shall be calculated separately for each rebar.

(3) The bond-splitting resistance is limited by the value of \( \tau_{Rk,ucr} \) as shown in equations (4.11b) and (4.11c)

\[
N_{Rk,sp} = \tau_{Rk,sp} \cdot l_b \cdot \phi \cdot \pi \quad \text{(for each tensioned bar)} \tag{4.10}
\]

\[
\tau_{Rk,sp} = \eta_1 \cdot A_k \left( \frac{f_{ck}}{25} \right)^{sp1} \cdot \frac{25}{\phi}^{sp2} \cdot \left( \frac{c_d}{\phi} \right)^{sp3} \cdot \left( \frac{c_{max}}{c_d} \right)^{sp4} + k_m \cdot K_{tr} \cdot \frac{7 \phi}{l_b^{lb1}} \cdot \Omega_{p,tr} \tag{4.11a}
\]

\[
\leq \tau_{Rk,ucr} \cdot \Omega_{cr} \cdot \Omega_{p,tr} \cdot \psi_{sus} \quad \text{for} \ 7\phi \leq l_b \leq 20\phi \tag{4.11b}
\]

\[
\leq \tau_{Rk,ucr} \cdot \left( \frac{20 \phi}{l_b} \right)^{lb1} \cdot \Omega_{cr} \cdot \Omega_{p,tr} \cdot \psi_{sus} \quad \text{for} \ l_b > 20\phi \tag{4.11c}
\]

where:

\( \eta_1 \) is a coefficient related to the quality of the bond condition and the position of the bar during concreting according to EN 1992-1-1 [4]

\( = 1.0 \) when “good” conditions are obtained as per EN 1992-1-1, Figure 8.2 [4]

\( = 0.7 \) in all other cases

\( A_k, sp1, sp2, sp3, sp4 \) and \( lb1 \) are taken from the relevant ETA

In equation (4.11a) the value \( \phi = 12 \) shall be inserted in the factors accounting for the influence of the rebar diameter and of \( c_d \)

\( c_d = \min \left\{ c_d/2; c_i; c_j \right\} \) (see Figure 4.1)

\( c_{max} = \max \left\{ c_d/2; c_i \right\} \) (see Figure 4.1)

In the calculation, the ratio \( c_{max} / c_d \) shall not be larger than 3.5.

\( k_m \) is the factor for the effectiveness of transverse reinforcement defined according to fib Model Code 2010 [6] and fib Bulletin 72 [10] (see Figure 4.2).

\( = 12 \) where rebars are confined inside a bend of links passing round the bar of at least 90°.
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= 6 where a rebar is more than 125 mm and more than 5 bar diameters from the nearest vertical leg of a link crossing the splitting plane in an approximately perpendicular direction
= 0 if a splitting crack would not intersect transverse reinforcement, either because the transverse reinforcement is positioned inside the bars, or the clear spacing between anchored or pairs of lapped rebars is less than 4 times the bottom cover, and hence a crack through the plane of the rebars would form without intersecting transverse reinforcement

Ktr is the normalized ratio to consider the amount of transverse reinforcement crossing a potential splitting surface defined and calculated according to fib Model Code 2010 [6] as follows:

\[ K_{tr} = \frac{(n_t \cdot A_{st})}{(n_b \cdot \phi \cdot s_b)} \leq 0.05 \] (4.12)

where:

- \( n_t \) is the number of legs of confining reinforcement crossing a potential splitting surface
- \( A_{st} \) is the cross-sectional area of one stirrup leg
- \( n_b \) is the number of anchored or lapped bars in the potential splitting surface
- \( s_b \) is the spacing between the confining reinforcement

Note: in the case of cracked concrete, in equations (4.11b) and (4.11c) only \( \Omega_{cr} \) applies and \( \Omega_{p,tr} \) shall not be applied.

---

Figure 4.1 Notation for bar spacing and cover as per fib Model Code 2010 [6]

Figure 4.2 Reduced effectiveness of links as per fib Bulletin 72 [10]

(4) As shown by equation (4.11a,b,c), the bond resistance represents an upper limit to the splitting resistance and accounts for: (i) the effect of serviceability cracks in the concrete (using the multiplication factor \( \Omega_{cr} \)) and (ii) the effect of transverse pressure in the concrete (using the multiplication factor \( \Omega_{p,tr} \)).
a) The factor to account for the effects of cracking ($\Omega_c$) shall be taken from the product ETA.

b) Transverse pressure ($p_{tr}$) perpendicular to the axis of the post-installed reinforcement should be accounted for using the factor $\Omega_{p, tr}$ as prescribed in FIB MC 2010 [6] and shown in equation (4.13).

$$\Omega_{p, tr} = 1.0 - \frac{0.3 \cdot p_{tr}}{f_{ctm}}$$
for $0 \leq p_{tr} \leq f_{ctm}$ (tension)

$$\Omega_{p, tr} = 1.0 - \tanh\left[\frac{0.2 \cdot p_{tr}}{0.1 \cdot f_{cm}}\right]$$
for $f_{cm} \leq p_{tr} \leq 0$ (compression)

Where

$p_{tr}$ is calculated as mean stress in the concrete (orthogonal to the bar axis) averaged over a volume around the bar with a diameter of $3\phi$.

c) The factor to account for the effect of sustained loads as per EN 1992-4 [9] is in accordance with equation (4.14a,b)

$$\psi_{sus} = 1$$
for $\alpha_{sus} \leq \psi'_{sus}$

$$\psi_{sus} = \psi'_{sus} + 1 - \alpha_{sus}$$
for $\alpha_{sus} > \psi'_{sus}$

Where

$\psi'_{sus}$ is the product dependent factor that takes account of the influence of sustained loads on the bond strength to be taken from the relevant European Technical Specification

$\alpha_{sus}$ is the ratio between the value of sustained actions (comprising permanent actions and permanent component of variable actions) and the value of total actions all considered at ultimate limit state

If no value is given in the European Product Specification for the product a value $\psi'_{sus} = 0.6$, should be used as per EN 1992-4.

4.5 Minimum anchorage length

The anchorage length, $l_b$, calculated according to the provisions of this Technical Report to resist the design actions shall not be shorter than the minimum required anchorage length, $l_{b, \text{min}}$, as per EN 1992-1-1:2004 [4] and the applicable National Annexes.
5 VERIFICATION OF SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE

(1) For the existing as well as the connecting reinforced concrete member, the serviceability requirements as per EN 1992-1-1 [4] shall be satisfied.

(2) Displacements given in the product ETA obtained following the requirements of EAD 330499-01-0601 [7] can be used.

(3) The serviceability requirements in terms of concrete cracking for the combined bond and splitting failure modes are accounted for by the product ETA obtained following the requirements of EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].
6 DURABILITY

The durability of the connection with post-installed rebars shall not be less than its intended working life. During this period of use, the mechanical properties of the reinforcing bar should not be adversely affected by environmental influences such as corrosion, oxidation, aging or alkalinity of the concrete. This is ensured by the following:

a) The mortar of a post-installed rebar system with an ETA as per EAD 332402-00-0601 [5] ensures a corrosion protection of the bar not smaller than in the case of a cast-in bar.

b) Concrete clear cover $c_{\text{min}} = \max (c_{\text{min,dur}}, c_{\text{min,ETA}})$, where $c_{\text{min,dur}}$ is the minimum cover required for different exposure classes according to EN 1992-1-1:2004 [4] and $c_{\text{min,ETA}}$ is the minimum concrete cover given in the relevant ETA as per EAD 332402-00-0601 [5].
7 ADDITIONAL VERIFICATIONS FOR ENSURING THE CHARACTERISTIC RESISTANCE OF THE EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE MEMBER

The transmission of the loads between existing and new concrete members shall be verified in accordance with EN 1992-1-1:2004 [4] and should consider all possible failure modes of the connection that are not specifically taken into account by this Technical Report. Additional verifications include, but are not limited to, the verification of the shear resistance of the existing member, or the verification of the shear resistance of the nodal panel.
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